



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,733	09/13/2000	James S Wilson	004578.1073	7374

7590 12/03/2002

Jerry W Mills
Baker Botts LLP
2001 Ross Avenue
Dallas, TX 75201-2980

EXAMINER

CIRIC, LJILJANA V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3743

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/660,733

Applicant(s)
Wilson et al.

Examiner
Ljiljana V. Ciric

Art Unit
3743



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ljiljana V. Ciric

(3) _____

(2) T. Murray Smith, Reg. No. 30,222

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 15, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: n/a

Identification of prior art discussed:
n/a

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Attorney T. Murray Smith telephoned Examiner Ciric on November 15, 2002 to inquire about the status of the instant application, for which a response to an advisory action was filed on October 17, 2002. Examiner Ciric telephoned Attorney Smith the following day in order to notify him that the status of the application after entry of the abovementioned response was not changed from rejected to special amended and hence the reply was not immediately acted upon by the examiner. Examiner Ciric noted that, given the resulting delay, the finality of the previous Office action would be removed, the after final amendment filed on September 20, 2002 would be entered, and another Office action would be generated by the examiner shortly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

LJILJANA V. CIRIC
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3743

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.